



**UNIVERSITY OF WEST BOHEMIA
FACULTY OF APPLIED SCIENCES
DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICS**

Academical year: 2003/2004

Term/Semester: Summer

Year: 5

The USA Home And Abroad Since 1945
KAJ/AHA

9-11
R E V I S I O N E D

Author: Jan Janský (A99194) y2@seznam.cz

Date: June 1, 2004

*By means of shrewd lies, unremittingly repeated,
it is possible to make people believe
that heaven is hell -- and hell heaven.
The greater the lie, the more readily it will be believed.*

– Adolf Hitler, Mein Kampf

INTRODUCTION – BRIEF OFFICIAL STORY

*In politics nothing happens by accident.
If it happens, you can bet it was planned that way.*
– Franklin D. Roosevelt

In the morning on September 11, 2001 two hijacked jet airliners crashed into the towers of the World Trade Center (WTC). The first one was the American Airlines flight 11 (AA11) which departed from Logan International Airport, Boston at 7:59am (local time) and hit the north face of the World Trade Center One (WTC1, north tower) at 8:46am at the estimated speed of 470 mph (750 kmph). The second one was the United Airlines flight 175 (UA175) which departed from Logan International Airport at 8:14am and hit the south face of the World Trade Center Two (WTC2, south tower) at 9:03am at the estimated speed of 590 mph (950 kmph). Both flights were scheduled to arrive to Los Angeles and both were of the same type – Boeing 767-200ER. [1]

Two other hijacked jetliners were reported – flight AA77 to hit the Pentagon building and the flight UA93 to crash in Pennsylvania. The American Airlines flight 77 departed from Dulles International Airport near Washington at 8:20am and is believed to hit the south-west wall of Pentagon at 9:37am the United Airlines flight 93 departed from Newark International Airport, New Jersey at 8:42am (40 minutes delay from schedule – 8:02 am) and crashed at 10:06am near Shanksville, Pennsylvania. Both plane were of type Boeing 757, flight AA77 was supposed to arrive to Los Angeles and flight UA93 to San Francisco. [1]

At 9:59am, 56 minutes after being hit the 110-story south tower (WTC2) collapsed, and so did the 110-story north tower (WTC1) at 10:29am Later that day at 5:20pm World Trade Center Seven (WTC7), a 47-story office building also totally collapsed. According to the FEMA's WTC Report about 2830 people were killed during these collapses in both towers, 157 people died on-board the hijacked airplanes and 343 additional casualties were among firefighters, police personnel and other emergency staff. On-board the flight AA77 64 people lost their lives, 40 on-board UA93 and 125 in the Pentagon building. [1],[2]

Still on 9/11/2001, Osama Bin Laden (who is supposed to be responsible for WTC bombing in 1993 and for bombings of the US Embassies in Kenya and Tanzania in 1998) and his terrorist network Al Qaeda became a prime suspect. Later, 19 Muslim men, mostly of Saudi origin, were identified as the hijackers. The cause of those three complete collapses that occurred that day (WTC1, WTC2, WTC7) was explained in detail by The WTC Report as a result of the structure's extreme heat stressing with the influence of airplane's impact damage and the usage of the double lightweight trusses (for WTC1 and WTC2) and as a result of some unfortunate coincidences in combination of severe office fire. [1],[2]



Figure 1: Satellite images of the WTC site before and after the attacks (9/17/2001)

FURTHER ANALYSIS AND SOME INTERESTING FACTS

All truth passes through three stages.

First, it is ridiculed. Second, it is violently opposed.

Third, it is accepted as being self-evident.

– Arthur Schopenhauer

There are still many unanswered questions concerning the events of 9/11/2001. Questions, that are of the primary importance when considering possible causes, motives and actual perpetrators. Many of them are so fundamental, that even their existence successfully doubts the official story or even expose official lies.

It's quite surprising how numerous are cases, when FBI agents before 9/11/2001 were on a trace of some possible terrorist plot planning when they were ordered to stop the further investigation. Known are FBI Special Agent Robert Wright, FBI agent John M. Cole. FBI agent Coleen Rowley even wrote a thirteen page letter to FBI Director Robert Mueller, where she complains about the FBI not reacting on evidence on plotting a terrorist attack including Zacharias Moussoui (the so-called 20th hijacker). But the most interesting is the case of FBI agent Sibel Edmonds who worked for the FBI as a translator. She was offered a substantial raise and a full-time job if she decided not to go public with the accusation that the Department of Justice wanted her to alter the recording translations of some terrorist suspects conversation which were taped prior to 9/11/2001. She stated, that she appeared on CBS's 60 Minutes once, but was threatened by Attorney General John Ashcroft (who lead the main investigations around 9/11/2001) with jail. She also testified before 911 Commission and the The Senate Judiciary Committee but the testimony is being kept by White House, CIA, FBI and DOJ just since the 60 Minutes affair. In these days (spring 2004), she prepares to go public with the case. [3],[4],[5],[6],[7]

On January 12, 2000 the Indian intelligence officials detained 11 Islamic preachers because of a suspicion of possible Al Qaeda hijackers. They all said to be Islamic preachers but had Israeli passports and were Israeli citizens from the West Bank. Later under Israeli pressure they were released to fly to Tel Aviv. One Indian official stated, that Tel Aviv "exerted considerable pressure" on Indian administration for their release and also said that "It appeared that they could be working for a sensitive organization in Israel and were on a mission to Bangladesh". Just as typical for Muslim preachers. [8]

As a matter of coincidence, the Indian intelligence agency later in September 2001 helped the US with the identification of those 19 Muslim hijackers who carried out the attacks in New York and Washington. Maybe just a matter of chance? [9]

Now, let's focus on those 19 Muslim men, who allegedly hijacked those four planes. They were identified within about 10 days after the attacks and their faces and names are on the FBI website ever since. At first it started to appear, that some of the suicide hijackers are still alive. From one, two, four, seven, nine. And finally the FBI and its director Robert Mueller admitted, that despite their knowledge of the suspects movement before 9/11/2001, they did not manage to uncover a single piece of evidence they had to do anything with the hijackings at all. In other words, FBI lied about identifying those attackers. It all appear like cases of stolen identity. But unknown terrorists do not need to steal identities, intelligence agencies do. Despite these findings the names and faces of these so called hijackers still remain on the FBI website as perpetrators who were already dead but at least seven of them somehow manage to be alive again – one of them even as an official pilot for Saudi airlines – old habits die hard I guess.[10],[11],[12]

The main piece of evidence against Al Qaeda that has been available to the public is the famous Osama Bin Laden "confession" video showing Osama discussing and planning the attacks. As you can see in the Figure 2, it's quite obvious, that the recording is a fake. In addition to this, his own family did not recognize him and the barely understandable speech was also mistranslated – which included a never existing Osama's statement, that Al Qaeda had nukes. It is obvious, that the tape is a fake, and quite a bad one – which also brings up a question, why those terrorist with millions of dollars and a world wide network always tape the most crucial video evidence in the as much as possible worst quality? But maybe that's just the classic terrorist way how to do it. [13],[14],[15]



Figure 2: Four real Osamas and a fake one from the "confession" tape (E)

The next interesting fact is that the official passport of Mohamed Atta was found practically undamaged near the WTC site, but all four black boxes from both planes were just "destroyed" and never found. One should never underestimate the durability of a paper. [16]

According to the mass media, there were several successful phone calls from aboard the plane during the hijackings. The most known one was from flight AA77 (the alleged Pentagon hit), when Barbara Olson a conservative commentator and attorney at least two times talked with her husband, Solicitor General Ted Olson, using a cell-phone. On 9/11/2001 most of main media broadcasted several other successful phone calls and short text messages sent from on-board the hijacked planes using cell phones. The main controversy in all this is the fact that these two events (flying the airplane and a cell phone call) cannot occur simultaneously. The reason to this is that the cell phone network is not capable to maintain successful connection at speeds that exceed around 160 mph (250 kmph) and also at the altitudes more than 3000 meters above the ground. For flight AA77 this is extremely significant, because if AA77 was about to hit Pentagon as it is told, it had to travel from the Ohio river back to Washington at an average speed of 600 mph (960 kmph) – this will be explained later. Several experiments were made about the possibility of using the cell phone in airplanes and all concluded it is not possible. [17]

There are also some indications of a third party directly involved (or had a direct knowledge) in the events of 9/11/2001. Witnesses who saw three men of Arab origin by a white van in the Liberty Park "cheering" and "jumping up and down" after the initial impact (AA11) took the car plate number and the FBI sent an alert to the police forces in the area: "Vehicle possibly related to New York terrorist attack. White, 2000 Chevrolet van with New Jersey registration with 'Urban Moving Systems' sign on back seen at Liberty State Park, Jersey City, NJ, at the time of first impact of jetliner into World Trade Center. Three individuals with van were seen celebrating after initial impact and subsequent explosion. FBI Newark Field Office requests that, if the van is located, hold for prints and detain individuals." Later in relation to this white van were detained five foreign nationals the three celebrating allegedly among them. They all had Israeli passports, one of them had also \$4700 cash hidden in a sock. According to TCM Breaking News the van was loaded with explosives. Because of evidence, that these celebrating men with a white van were reported to be seen from quite different areas in NY, some justified theories appeared later, that there were more white vans with middle-eastern looking men celebrating the attacks. This is based on the contradictory reports of the van's interception location – ABC, New York Post, New Jersey Bergen Report stated it was near Lincoln Tunnel, in contrary Jerusalem Post, Israeli National News, Yediot America stated it was near George Washington Bridge. This also explains why there are contradictory reports about the actual number of men arrested – three or five. After several month all detainees were quietly released to Israel. It is quite important to note, that the favourite cover up story applied by Mossad for decades includes the usage of a "moving companies" and the disguise as Arab nationals (i.e. Lavon Affair). [18],[19],[20]

Concerning the Pentagon crash, there are some questions which are still unresolved, few most important ones will be described. The first report was, that Pentagon was hit by a truck loaded with explosives. Later it was reported, that it was the hijacked flight AA77 that hit the building. But it is still not clear, how could a Boeing 757 after the 180 degree turn back to Washington near Ohio border disappear from all radar tracking. This happened at about 9:00am – for the next 37 minutes the plane remained invisible to radar till it officially appeared again a few moments before it crashed in the Pentagon wall at 9:37am During these 37 minutes, the plane had to beat cca 370 miles (590 km), which gives us an average speed of 600 mph (960 kmph). The next frequently argued and violently disputed thing is that the hole in the wall before it partially collapsed and the damage marks on the face of the building had only about a half of the plane's actual dimensions. This fact first appeared on a French website and later many conspiracy theorists begun the "Hunt the Boeing" campaign claiming that it was not the flight AA77 what actually hit the Pentagon. Contrary to the Pennsylvania crash and Twin Towers hit, there is no seismic record (or the levels of the signal were too low for acceptable recording) of a plane impact in the Pentagon. The most disturbing thing about this crash is that even officially no debris of the Boeing 757 were found at the site. The only two pieces which were shown to the public as the parts of the plane can be seen in Figure 3. According to a witness it is more likely a part of a helicopter which was demolished during the crash.[21],[22]



Figure 3: All that was left of a "Boeing 757" for public. One would expect more...

WORLD TRADE CENTER COLLAPSE

How many legs does a dog have if you count his tail as a leg?

Four. You can call a tail a leg if you want to, but that doesn't make it a leg.

– Abraham Lincoln

Concerning the collapse of the Twin Towers many questions were raised and many inconsistencies in the official story were revealed. The main disputes are still there about the conditions that may or may not lead to the towers collapse. According to The WTC Report, which is in fact the only official document which was probably based on some real investigation of the debris, the building blueprints and construction design, the main reason of the structure failure was because of the high temperatures that emerged after the planes impact and the intensive kerosene burning. Most people believe, that it was primary the jet fuel what caused the high temperatures and what heated the steel construction enough to lose its strength and collapse. Even according to The WTC Report, the jet fuel burned out in the first five minutes after impact and from that point on the fires were of nearly typical office burning. Many speculations were started about why the Twin Towers collapsed when they were built to withstand the impact of the plane of the Boeing 767 dimensions with full fuel tanks (the planes that hit the buildings had both only about 1/3 of the total fuel capacity in the tanks). Some models even showed, that the buildings core should be able to even withstand the impact of a much larger Boeing 747. [1],[24]

The both buildings stood stable for quite a long time without any signs of instability – WTC2 collapsed 56 minutes after being hit and WTC1 even 1 hour and 43 minutes after the initial impact. The question also is, why the WTC2 collapsed earlier despite the fact, that the plane nearly completely missed the building's main core which held most of the weight of the building and at least 2/3 of the fuel burned immediately out after the impact in the huge fiery cloud. One can also notice, that before the collapse of WTC2 the fire in the tower seemed to nearly completely extinguish (only a little smoke coming out of WTC2 comparing to WTC1). Also the firefighters believed the structure is stable and reported no or minor fires even at floor 78, where according to the official story raged fires of 800°C to 1100°C! The fire severity can be compared with the First Interstate Bank of Los Angeles fire in 1988. The building suffered more severe burning near the ground level with fifty floors above and according to the official report there were no signs of a construction instability despite higher temperatures and significantly greater structure load. [1],[25],[26]

On 9/11/2001 the Albuquerque Journal posted an interview with a demolition expert Van Romero who was the former director of the Energetic Materials Research and Testing Center at Tech, an institute which studied the effect of explosives on buildings, aircraft and other structures. He stated, that the collapses appeared "too methodical". "My opinion is, based on the videotapes, that after the airplanes hit the World Trade Center there were some explosive devices inside the buildings that caused the towers to collapse," he said. He also said, that to arrange a collapse like these it would be possible by placing explosives to at least two points in the buildings. This statement has become very popular among conspiracy theorists. Ten days later ABQ Journal posted that Van Romero altered his opinion and "he now believes there were no explosives in the World Trade Center towers". Despite Van Romero's new opinion there are some indications, that there possibly was much more included in the collapse than just fire and a consequent structure failure. First, there is only about 10 second lasting towers collapse which means that the undamaged parts of the building did not had the strength to slow down the free fall of the upper debris. Second, there is some quite interesting video evidence of debris squibs even about fifty floors below the actual zone of collapse. See Figure 4. [27], [28]



WTC2: Two squibs visible way below the zone of collapse, the same patterns appeared also on other faces of the building in the same height and at the same time.



WTC1: One of the three visible consequent patterns that were visible during the early stages of the collapse of the tower.

Figure 4: Twin towers collapsing and visible squibs

The all above can be with both eyes closed considered as a speculation or a fact based speculation. But the case of WTC7 yields more than a possibility and speculation. What most people are not even aware of is that later on 9/11/2001 another high-rise building at the WTC site collapsed. It was located north across the street of WTC1 – see Figure 1. The 47-story office building called as World Trade Center Seven collapsed at 5:20pm, long after it had been already evacuated. [1]

WTC7 was not hit by an aircraft, it was only slightly damaged by falling debris during the collapse of WTC1 (between the WTC1 and WTC7 was WTC6 – U.S. Customs building and a Vesey Street). Despite not significant damage suffered, this steel-framed structure totally collapsed, according to the WTC Report because of several unbelievable accidents and consequent severe fires. Official explanation is following – the buildings generators malfunctioned and started working when they supposed to be off and provided unwanted power supply, then some falling debris from WTC1 (more than 100 meters away across the street and another building) broke through the whole building and a thick concrete wall and damaged the fuel oil pipes, then despite the falling debris was cold it managed to start multiple fires, then the safety mechanism that was designed to shut down the fuel oil pipes malfunctioned and the pumps pumped all the fuel oil from the ground floor to the fifth floor, where it started burning, then the sprinkler system failed to work and the burning oil heated the steel structure to the point where it totally symmetrically collapsed despite the fact that in history no steel-framed building ever collapsed because of fire. According to this when Corus, a construction company, performed fire tests for steel-framed car parks, where similar burning conditions were simulated, the maximum temperature reached for the steel construction by several independent tests was less than 360°C. This all is as ridiculous as the Magic Bullet from the Warren Commission Report. [1],[29]

The WTC Report is wrong about WTC7. Even when forgotten that photographic evidence shows only some localized small fires and the whole building almost undamaged, even only a slight look at any video showing the structure collapsing gives us a proof of a controlled demolition. The building started it's collapse at ground level with immediate synchronous demolition squibs visible on the whole face of the building. Then it symmetrically, from the bottom, maintaining it's shape, all at once descended to the ground. Under whatever condition miraculously provided by a raging inferno, this would never be possible. Image is more than thousand words – see Figure 5. [1],[30]



WTC7 before it collapsed



WTC7 collapsing – the structure is descending to the ground maintaining its shape, completely symmetrically, which give us a proof of a deliberate demolition

Figure 5: WTC7 pictures from The WTC Report

BACKGROUND AND MOTIVES

*A government that robs Peter to pay Paul
can always depend on the support of Paul.
– George Bernard Shaw*

Before 9/11/2001, several quite interesting things happened, things that may or may not be directly related to the events of September Eleventh. Just short before the stock value of AMR, which is the company that owned American Airlines, descended by 15% and the stock value of Munich Re, which was the world largest ensuring company, by 13%. This raises the question, how much were those alleged terrorists able to move the stock market or who else knew what was going to happen? Next, the NBC News manage to reveal that the detailed plans for invasion to Afghanistan reached the White House for G. Bush's signature two days before – on 9/9/2001. Then it is quite interesting, that several Pentagon officials allegedly suddenly canceled their flights scheduled at the morning of September Eleventh. [31],[32],[33],[34]

Because of the Twin Towers strange collapse, many institutions wanted to analyze the debris to reveal possible structure or design flaw and to thoroughly understand the causes of the collapse. None of these institutions finished it's work. The first problem was about the buildings blueprints which were unavailable to public or to public institutions. The second problem was, that the Bush Administration ordered the debris to be as quickly as possible removed, transported to China and India to be melted. Hence the evidence is destroyed. As a matter of chance, the contract for the debris removal was given to a firm called Controlled Demolition which previously also gained a contract to cart away the rubble of the Murrah Federal Building in Oklahoma that was bombed in 1995. [35],[36],[37],[38]

Also quite shocking is that the security to the World Trade Center, Dulles International Airport and United Airlines was provided by Stratesec (former name Securacom) a firm closely connected to George Walker Bush by his younger brother Marvin Bush who was a principal in the company from 1993 to 2000. The firm was backed up by Kuwaiti-American Corporation, an investment company and a major investor for Stratesec. More to this, when the security at World Trade Center was tightened because of some security warnings, the bomb-sniffing dogs in WTC were removed just days before 9/11/2001. [39],[40]

According to Michael Moore's (the film-maker) book "Dude, Where's My Country" in the days following 9/11/2001 George Walker Bush himself gave the permission for a Saudi Bin Laden family jet airplane to flight all over the U.S. and to pick up 24 members of the Bin Laden family and then to leave for Saudi Arabia. Why? It seems, that between the Bush family and the bin Laden Family were at least long-time business relationships. Bin Ladens, the Saudi multimillionaires were among the investors for George Walker Bush's first oil company Arbusto, founded in 1977. The Bin Laden family also founded Bin Laden Aviation company in San Antonio, donated millions of dollars for the Harvard University and the Tufts University. They also were the members of Carlyle Group since 1994 to November 2001. The most interesting thing about Michael Moore's book is a finding, that Osama Bin Laden seems to be dependent on a dialysis machine for a long time. How could a man with a handicap like this remain hidden in some cave in Afghanistan? [41]

The invasion to Afghanistan was presented like a manhunt for Bin Laden, Taliban and as a part of the War on Terror. Actually, it was more likely a war for protection of investments. According to PNAC – the Project for The New American Century Afghanistan has a critical location for the control over the Caspian oil reserves – the largest untouched world oil reserves. Three companies were involved in the negotiations with Afghan government (and later also with Taliban) about the construction of a pipeline across the country. The three companies were energetic giants Enron and Unocal and a service company Halliburton with vice-president Dick Cheney as the director. Some Enron studies were even financed by the U.S. government. Millions of dollars were invested. The whole deal was temporarily frozen in 1998 after the bombings of the two U.S. embassies in Kenya and Tanzania when Clinton administration stopped the negotiations. After that, Enron was involved in the presidency campaign and helped Bush to get elected. The negotiations with Taliban were resumed a month later. But then in August 2001 Taliban received a message (content unknown) from the Bush administration. The negotiations were ruined. Millions of dollars invested for nothing. The deal was off. On 9/9/2001, Bush was given the invasion plans to Afghanistan for signature. On 9/11/2001 the enemy was created and located within the unknown state in the prairies and deserts somewhere in the middle of Asia. The American public was convinced and wanted revenge. Wanted Bin Laden. After the "conquest" of Afghanistan the power was given to Hamid Kharzai the former consultant to Unocal. On 12/27/2001, Afghanistan, Pakistan and Turkmenistan signed the contract on pipeline construction. [41],[42]

Funny, isn't it?

CONCLUSION

*It is the absolute right of the State
to supervise the formation of public opinion.*

– Paul Joseph Goebbels

After some knowledge of the circumstances, it is quite interesting (the cynics would have said funny) to observe the media and their work. Think about those people, who work there every day, who have the possibility to gather information needed to look deeper on the important events. Except some very rare cases like BBC, Guardian or Al-Jazeera the media are beginning to provide only the official, proved theories which are mostly unfounded or even direct lies. As an example let's consider all those experts who tried to explain why the Twin Towers collapsed without even seeing the blueprints or the cell phone hoax not even considering various pseudo-documents about 9/11 that even do not show the collapse of WTC7 – undoubtedly the most strange thing that occurred that day. Why? How is that possible? Is that political pressure on media and lobbying so intensive or is that because of the effects of political correctness that only the official statement can ever be right? One could wonder forever. There are so many inconsistencies in the official story about 9/11 and so many unanswered questions that the official version of the events looks like a conspiracy theory much more than all the conspiracy theories one could find about the topic. This work was about to show, that the truth is still to be uncovered. It is not directly indicating who did it in first place. The main reason was to show in a most believable way, that the scenario was definitely different from the official version.

Even in ancient Egypt the new pharaohs used to carve the names of their predecessors out of the stone memorials and ordered their family tree to be carved in. The history has been changed all the time, and all the time the most people believed the current state of it. No matter whether it was true or not, it was always about belief. The main effect of the events of the sunny morning of 9/11/2001 was the illusion, that there was an enemy that had to be fought. However not many people realized, that the enemy is more likely within the gates. So like the Reichstag fire or the attack on Pearl Harbour the attacks on WTC and Pentagon had one major purpose – to create an enemy, to make people believe there is something they have to fight against, that there is something worth losing the freedom for. These attacks were about creating a public opinion using a shock event that blinds peoples minds. It worked.

It always does.

Let us never tolerate outrageous conspiracy theories.

– George Walker Bush

REFERENCES

- [1] House Committee on Science, *World Trade Center Building Performance Study*
www.house.gov/science/hot/wtc/wtcreport.htm
- [2] Fact Index, *September 11, 2001 Attacks*
http://www.fact-index.com/s/se/september_11__2001_attacks.html
- [3] James V. Grimaldi, *2 FBI Whistle-Blowers Allege Lax Security, Possible Espionage*
Washington Post, June 19, 2002
- [4] Tom Flocco, *DOJ Asked FBI Translator To Change Pre 9-11*
<http://tomflocco.com/modules.php?name=News&file=article&sid=50>
- [5] Disinfopedia, *Sibel Edmonds*
http://www.disinfopedia.org/wiki.phtml?title=Sibel_Edmonds
- [5] Anonymous, *Stranger Than Fiction*
<http://guardian.911review.org/STF/stranger-than-fiction.htm>
- [6] Wes Vernon, *Scandal Inside the FBI: Did G-Men Miss the Boat on 9-11*
Newsmax, March 14, 2002
<http://www.newsmax.com/archives/articles/2002/3/13/94339.shtml>
- [7] Coleen Rowley, *Coleen Rowley's Memo to FBI Director Robert Mueller*
Time Online Edition, May 21, 2002
<http://www.time.com/time/nation/article/0,8599,249997,00.html>
- [8] Subir Bhaumik, *Aborted Mission*
The Week, February 6, 2000
<http://www.the-week.com/20feb06/events2.htm>
<http://billstclair.com/911timeline/2000/week020600.html>
- [9] Press Trust of India, *Indian intelligence wiretap identified 9/11 hijackers*
Express India, April 3, 2002
<http://www.expressindia.com/fullstory.php?newsid=8901%20/%20compstory>
- [10] *Hijack 'suspects' alive and well*
BBC News, September 23, 2001
http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/world/middle_east/1559151.stm
- [11] *Many of The 9-11 "hijackers" are still alive*
Indymedia, December 06, 2002
<http://thunderbay.indymedia.org/news/2002/12/2373.php>
- [12] Michael Collins Piper, *FBI Admits: No Evidence Links 'Hijackers' to 9-11*
American Free Press, May 13, 2002
http://www.americanfreepress.net/051302/FBI_Admits__No_Evidence_/fbi_admits__no_evidence_.html
- [13] *Bin Laden 'has nuclear weapons'*
BBC News, November 10, 2001
http://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/world/south_asia/1648572.stm
- [14] *The fake 2001 Osama bin Laded video tape*
Whatreallyhappened.com
<http://www.whatreallyhappened.com/osamatape.html>
- [15] Arlene Getz, *A Double? A Fake?*
MSNBC News, December 14, 2001
<http://msnbc.msn.com/id/3067365/>
- [16] Anne Karpf, *Uncle Sam's lucky finds*
The Guardian, March 19, 2002
<http://www.guardian.co.uk/september11/story/0,11209,669961,00.html>
- [17] Germar Rudolf, *Cell Phone Experiments in Airlines*
Physics911.org, July 2003
<http://physics911.org/net/modules/news/article.php?storyid=7>
- [18] Doug Saunders, *U.S. Arrests of Israelis a mystery*
Bell Globemedia Interactive Inc., December 17, 2001
<http://www.theglobeandmail.com/servlet/ArticleNews/printarticle/gam/20011217/UHELDM>
- [19] *Five Israelis arrested on 9-11*
Whatreallyhappened.com
<http://www.whatreallyhappened.com/fiveisraelis.html>
- [20] Claire Hoy, Victor J. Ostrovski, *By Way of Deception*
Stoddard Publishing Co. Limited, Toronto 1990
- [21] *A Detailed Analysis of whether or not a Boeing 757 hit the Pentagon*
<http://guardian.911review.org/Pentagon/what-hit-it.htm>

-
- [22] *Hunt the Boeing! And test your perceptions*,
http://www.asile.org/citoyens/numero13/pentagone/erreurs_en.htm
http://www.asile.org/citoyens/numero14/missile/temoins_en.htm
http://www.asile.org/citoyens/numero14/missile/trou_en.htm
http://www.asile.org/citoyens/numero14/missile/missile_en.htm
- [23] Won-Young Kim, Gerald R. Baum,
Seismic Observations during September 11, 2001, Terrorist Attack
 Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory of Columbia University, Palisades, USA
 Environmental Geology and Mineral Resources Program, Maryland Geological Survey, Baltimore
<http://www.mgs.md.gov/esic/publications/download/911pentagon.pdf>
- [24] *Steel Structure Nonlinear FEA Simulation Provides Insight into World Trade Center Collapse*
<http://guardian.911review.org/WTC/wtc-microsoft.htm>
- [25] Christopher Bollyn, *New York Firefighters' Final Words Fuel Burning Questions About 9-11*
 American Free Press, August 2, 2002
http://www.americanfreepress.net/08_09_02/New_York_Firefighters___/new_york_firefighters___.html
- [26] Los Angeles Fire Department, *First Interstate Bank Fire*
 Los Angeles Fire Department Historical Archive, May 4, 1988
http://www.lafire.com/famous_fires/880504_1stInterstateFire/050488_InterstateFire.htm
- [27] Olivier Uyttebrouck, *Explosives Planted In Towers, N.M. Tech Expert Says*
 Albuquerque Journal, September 11, 2001
<http://www.angelfire.com/ny5/tradecencrimes/page452.html>
- [28] John Fleck, *Fire, Not Extra Explosives, Doomed Buildings, Expert Says*
 Albuquerque Journal, September 21, 2001
<http://www.angelfire.com/ny5/tradecencrimes/page452.html>
- [29] Corus Construction Centre, *Fire Resistance of Steel Framed Car Parks*
 Corus Group plc.
http://www.corusconstruction.com/page_137.htm
- [30] *Videos Show Building 7's Vertical Collapse*
<http://wtc7.net/videos.html>
- [31] Marek Bičik, *Prodávali teroristé akcie předem? (Did the terrorists sell the stock in advance?)*
 MF DNES, September 19, 2001, MAFRA a.s., 2002
- [32] *U.S. Planned for Attack on al-Qaida*
 MSNBC, May 16, 2002
<http://www.cooperativeresearch.org/timeline/2002/msnbc051602.html>
- [33] Bob Drogin, *U.S. Tells of Covert Afghan Plans Before 9/11*
 Los Angeles Times, May 18, 2002
<http://www.latimes.com/news/nationworld/nation/la-051802strike.story>
- [34] Evan Thomas, Mark Hosenball, *Bush: 'We're At War'*
 Newsweek, September 24, 2001
<http://www.wanttoknow.info/010924newsweek>
- [35] *World Trade Centre Scrap Sails to India, China*
 CRI News, January 2002
<http://english.cri.com.cn/english/2002/Jan/45327.htm>
- [36] *Twin Towers steel set for US warship*
 BBC News, December 28, 2001
<http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/americas/2611059.stm>
- [37] Christopher Bollyn, *Fire Engineers Call WTC Probe 'Half-Baked Farce'*
 American Free Press
http://www.americanfreepress.net/Conspiracy/Fire_Engineers_Call_WTC_Probe/fire_engineers_call_wtc_probe.html
- [38] *Testimony of Dr. Abolhassan Astaneh-Asl, Professor*
Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of California, Berkeley
Before the Committee on Science of the U.S. House of Representatives
 March 6, 2002
<http://www.house.gov/science/hearings/full02/mar06/astaneh.htm>
- [39] Margie Burns, *Bush-Linked Company Handled Security for the WTC, Dulles and United*
 Prince George's Journal, February 4, 2003
<http://www.commondreams.org/views03/0204-06.htm>
- [40] Curtis L. Taylor, Sean Gardiner, *Heightened Security Alert Had Just Been Lifted*
 NYNewsday, September 12, 2001
<http://www.nynewsday.com/news/local/manhattan/wtc/ny-nyaler122362178sep12,0,6794009.story>
- [41] Michael Moore, *Dude, Where's My Country?*
 Warner Books, October 7, 2003
- [42] George Monbiot, *America's pipe dream*
 The Guardian, October 23, 2001
<http://www.guardian.co.uk/waronterror/story/0,1361,579169,00.html>
-